arXiv:1209.0644v1 [math.GT] 4 Sep 2012

Stable classification of 4-manifolds obtained by the surgery on loops

Wojciech Politarczyk

September 5, 2012

Abstract

This paper is concerned with the problem of stable diffeomorphism classification of 4-manifolds obtained using the surgery on loops. The main theorem states that under the assumption that the normal 1-type of two 4-manifolds in question is the same, the only classifying invariant is the signature. In particular, in some cases, any two closed smooth 4-manifolds with a given fundamental group, obtained by the standard construction, are stably diffeomorphic. This paper is an outgrowth of [7].

1 Introduction

A well known theorem states that for any finitely presented group π and $n \geq 4$ there exists a smooth compact connected n-manifold whose fundamental group is isomorphic to π. The construction starts with arbitrary presentation $\mathcal{P}$ of π. Then the surgery is performed on

$$(S^1 \times S^{n-1})\# \dots \#(S^1 \times S^{n-1})$$

along loops representing the relations of $\mathcal{P}$. The Seifert-Van Kampen theorem implies that the obtained manifold has fundamental group isomorphic to π.

The manifold obtained by the construction will depend on the presentation of π and framings chosen during the surgery. In order to classify these manifolds one has to take care of all the data, improve the construction and make it more precise. This is done in section 4 for $n = 4$, where the construction of π-realizing surgery on loops is defined.

In 1960's C.T.C. Wall proved in [13] that two smooth closed simply-connected 4-manifolds which are h-cobordant become diffeomerphic after taking the connected sum with a number of copies of the product $S^2 \times S^2$. This leads to the notion of stable diffeomorphism.

Definition 1.1. Let M and N be two smooth manifolds of dimension $2n$. We say M and N are *stably diffeomorphic* if there are two positive integers k, l and a diffeomorphism

$$f\colon M\#k(S^n \times S^n) \to N\#l(S^n \times S^n).$$

The stable diffeomorphism relation played an essential role in the later developments of the 4-manifold topology. For example from the results of Wall and Milnor, contained in [13] [6], one can deduce that any two closed smooth simply connected 4-manifolds with the same homotopy type are stably diffeomorphic. In particular any closed smooth simply connected 4-manifold has a unique smooth structure up to stable diffeomorphism. This results was generalized by other authors. For example Gompf in [3] proved that the conclusion about the stable uniquness of the smooth structure holds for any fundamental group. J.F. Davis proved in [2] that if a group π satisfies certain algebraic condition then homotopy equivalence implies stable diffeomorphism for manifolds with fundamental group isomorphic to π. It is also worth mentioning that Quinn proved in [8] that s-cobordant 4-manifolds are stably diffeomorphic.

The main result of this paper reads as follows (for the definition of normal 1-type see def. 2.1):

Theorem 1.2. *Let π be a finitely presented group. Two closed connected orientable smooth 4-manifolds obtained by π-realizng surgery on loops with the same normal 1-type are diffeomorphic if and only if the signature of both manifolds is the same.*

Example 1.3. Suppose that we chose two finite presentations $\mathcal{P}_1$ and $\mathcal{P}_2$ of a fixed group π. Let $M_1 = \#k_1(S^3 \times S^1)$ and $M_2 = \#k_2(S^3 \times S^1)$, where k_1 and k_2 are the numbers of generators in $\mathcal{P}_1$ and $\mathcal{P}_2$, respectively.

The normal 1-type of even 4-manifold with fundamental group π is determined by a cohomology class $w \in H^2(\pi;\mathbb{Z}/2)$. Two classes $w_1, w_2 \in H^2(\pi;\mathbb{Z}/2)$ define the same normal 1-type if there exists an automorphism $\phi\colon \pi \to \pi$ such that

$$\phi^* w_1 = w_2.$$

In our case for any cohomology class $w \in H^2(\pi;\mathbb{Z}/2)$ the π-realizing surgery on loops can be performed on M_1 and M_2 such that the normal 1-type corresponds to w.

Let $w_1, w_2 \in H^2(\pi;\mathbb{Z}/2)$ and $Y(M_1,\mathcal{P}_1,w_1)$, $Y(M_2,\mathcal{P}_2,w_2)$ denote the result of the π-realizing surgery on loops performed on M_1 and M_2, respectively. The normal 1-type of M_i is corresponds to the class w_i for $i = 1, 2$. Theorem 1.2 implies, due to the equality of signatures, that $Y(M_1,\mathcal{P}_2,w_1)$ and $Y(M_2,\mathcal{P}_2,w_2)$ are stably diffeomorphic if and only if there exists an automorphism ϕ of π such that

$$\phi^* w_1 = w_2.$$

Example 1.4. Let $M_1' = M_1\#\mathbb{C}P^2$ and $M_2' = M_2\#\mathbb{C}P^2$, where M_1 and M_2 are the same as in the previous example. For odd 4-manifolds the normal 1-type is determined by the fundamental group. Thus if $Y(M_1',\mathcal{P}_1)$ and $Y(M_2',\mathcal{P}_2)$ are always stably diffeomorphic.

The paper is organized as follows. In section 2 the normal 1-type is defined. This concept is essential for controlling changes made during the construction.

It is also a starting point of the modified surgery of Kreck, see [4]. The advantage of using the normal 1-type comes from the Kreck's stable diffeomorphism theorem (thm. 5.1). One reduces the problem of stable diffeomorphism classification to the algebraic problem of computing the bordism groups of the fibration representing the normal 1-type.

In section 3 the construction of the James spectral sequence is described. This spectral sequence was originally constructed by Teichner in [11]. The James spectral sequence plays a crucial role in later considerations.

Section 4 describes the surgery on loops under circumstances needed in this paper.

Section 5 contains the proof of the main theorem 1.2.

2 Normal 1-types

Definition 2.1. Let $k \in \mathbb{N}$ and $p\colon B_k \to BSO$ be a fibration. We say that p is *k-universal* if the homotopy fibre of p is connected and the relative homotopy groups $\pi_i(p)$ vanish for $i \geq k+1$.
Let M be a manifold with a B_k-structure, i.e. the normal Gauss map

$$\nu\colon M \to BSO$$

admits a lifting $\overline{\nu}$ to B_k. The map $\overline{\nu}$ is called *k-smoothing* if it is a $(k+1)$-equivalence.

Definition 2.2. Let M be a closed connected and oriented n-manifold. The normal *k-type of M* is the fibre homotopy type of the k-universal fibration

$$B_k \to BSO,$$

such that there exists a k-smoothing of M

$$\begin{tikzcd} & B_k \arrow[d] \\ M \arrow[ur, dashed, "\overline{\nu}"] \arrow[r, "\nu"] & BSO \end{tikzcd}$$

In this paper we will be mostly interested in normal 1-types of 4-manifolds. To construct a fibration, which represents the normal 1-type of a given 4-manifold M we have to consider two separate cases.

First suppose that $w_2(\widetilde{M}) \neq 0$, where $\widetilde{M}$ is the universal covering space of M and w_2 is the second Stiefel-Whitney class.

Definition 2.3. A 4-manifold M is said to be *odd* if its universal covering space is not a spin manifold.

In this case the normal 1-type of M is represented by the following fibration.

$$\begin{tikzcd}
 & BSO \times K(\pi_1(M),1) \arrow[d] \\
M \arrow[ur, "{(\nu, u)}"] \arrow[r, "\nu"] & BSO
\end{tikzcd}$$

Here $u\colon M \to K(\pi_1(M), 1)$ is the classifying map for the universal covering.

Definition 2.4. A 4-manifold is said to be *even* if its universal covering space is a spin manifold.

Let M be even and let $u\colon M \to K(\pi_1(M), 1)$ classify the universal covering. Consider the following lemma.

Lemma 2.5. Suppose M is a finite CW-complex and let A be a trivial $\mathbb{Z}\pi_1(M)$-module. Then the following sequence is exact

$$0 \to H^2(\pi_1(M), A) \xrightarrow{u^*} H^2(M, A) \xrightarrow{p^*} H^2(\widetilde{M}, A).$$

Proof. Start with the following exact $\mathbb{Z}\pi_1(M)$-chain complex $\mathcal{C}$:

$$C_2(\widetilde{M}) \to C_1(\widetilde{M}) \to C_0(\widetilde{M}) \to \mathbb{Z} \to 0.$$

It can be extended to an acyclic $\mathbb{Z}\pi_1(M)$ chain complex $\mathcal{C}'$ of projective modules. The short exact sequence of chain complexes

$$0 \to \mathcal{C} \to \mathcal{C}' \to \mathcal{C}'/\mathcal{C} \to 0$$

yields the following exact sequence

$$0 \to \hom_{\mathbb{Z}\pi_1(M)}(\mathcal{C}'/\mathcal{C}, A) \to \hom_{\mathbb{Z}\pi_1(M)}(\mathcal{C}', A) \to \hom_{\mathbb{Z}\pi_1(M)}(\mathcal{C}, A) \to 0. \qquad (1)$$

The snake lemma gives the long exact sequence in cohomology. Consider the following part of this exact sequence

$$0 \to H^2(\pi_1(M), A) \to X \xrightarrow{\delta} Y \qquad (2)$$

where

$$X = \operatorname{coker}\Big(\hom_{\mathbb{Z}\pi_1(M)}(C_1(\widetilde{M}), A) \to \hom_{\mathbb{Z}\pi_1(M)}(C_2(\widetilde{M}), A)\Big)$$
$$Y = \ker\big(\hom_{\mathbb{Z}\pi_1(M)}(C_3', A) \to \hom_{\mathbb{Z}\pi_1(M)}(C_4', A)\big)$$

Chain complex $\mathcal{C}'$ is acyclic, thus there exists a diagram of exact sequences.

$$\begin{tikzcd}
C_4' \arrow[r] & C_3' \arrow[rr, "\partial"] \arrow[dr] & & C_2(\widetilde{X}) \arrow[r] & C_2(\widetilde{X}) \\
 & & Z_2(\widetilde{X}) \arrow[ur] \arrow[dr] & & \\
 & 0 \arrow[ur] & & 0 &
\end{tikzcd}$$

The diagram above implies the following equality

$$\ker\left(\hom_{\mathbb{Z}\pi_1(M)}(C'_3, A) \to \hom_{\mathbb{Z}\pi_1(M)}(C'_4, A)\right) = \hom_{\mathbb{Z}\pi_1(M)}(Z_2(\widetilde{X}), A).$$

We have

$$\begin{aligned} Z^2(\widetilde{X}, A) = \{\phi \in \hom_{\mathbb{Z}\pi_1(M)}(C_2(\widetilde{M}), A)\colon B_3(\widetilde{X}) \subset \ker\phi\} \subset \\ \subset \hom_{\mathbb{Z}\pi_1(M)}(C_2(\widetilde{M}), A). \end{aligned}$$

Examination of the exact sequence (1) shows that the connecting homomorphism δ is equal to $\hom(\partial, A)$. Thus

$$\operatorname{Im}(\delta) = \hom_{\mathbb{Z}\pi_1(M)}(Z_2(\widetilde{X}), A) \subset \hom_{\mathbb{Z}\pi_1(M)}(C'_3, A)$$

and δ is equal to the restriction to

$$\phi \mapsto \phi|_{Z_2(\widetilde{X})}.$$

Consequently (2) becomes

$$0 \to H^2(\pi_1(M), A) \to H^2(M, A) \xrightarrow{f} \hom_{\mathbb{Z}\pi_1(M)}(Z_2(\widetilde{X}), A).$$

Now the image of f consists of those homomorphisms $\phi\colon Z_2(\widetilde{X}) \to A$ which vanish on $B_3(\widetilde{X})$. Thus

$$\begin{aligned} \operatorname{Im}(f) \subset \hom_{\mathbb{Z}\pi_1(M)}(Z_2(\widetilde{X})/B_3(\widetilde{X}), A) \subset \\ \subset \hom_{\mathbb{Z}}(Z_2(\widetilde{X})/B_3(\widetilde{X}), A) \subset H^2(\widetilde{X}, A). \end{aligned}$$

Consequently we obtain the following exact sequence

$$0 \to H^2(\pi_1(M), A) \to H^2(M, A) \to H^2(\widetilde{M}, A).$$

□

Remark 2.6. It is obvious that spin manifolds are even, however the reverse implication is not true in general. Nevertheless sometimes it can be reversed. The next corollary follows easily from lemma 2.5.

Corollary 2.7. The class of even 4-manifolds with fundamental group π is equal to the class of spin 4-manifolds with fundamental group π if and only if $H^2(\pi; \mathbb{Z}/2) = 0$.

From the lemma 2.5 we can conclude that there exists a unique class $w \in H^2(\pi, \mathbb{Z}/2)$ such that $u^*w = w_2(M)$. Consider now the following pullback square.

$$\begin{tikzcd}
B(\pi, w) \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & K(\pi, 1) \arrow[d, "w"] \\
BSO \arrow[r, "w_2"] & K(\mathbb{Z}/2, 2)
\end{tikzcd}$$

Proposition 2.8. *The fibration $B(\pi, w) \to BSO$ from the diagram above represents the normal* 1*-type of* M*.*

Proof. Two maps u and ν determine the lifting $\overline{\nu}\colon M \to B(\pi, w)$ of the normal map ν by the universal property of the fibration. Observe now that $BSpin$ is the homotopy fibre of the map $B(\pi, w) \to K(\pi, 1)$, which implies that this map induces an isomorphism on π_1 and π_2. The map u is a 2-equivalence, thus $\overline{\nu}$ must be a 2-equivalence. Additionally the homotopy groups of the homotopy fibre of the map $B(\pi, w) \to BSO$ vanish in dimension greater than 1. This proves that $B(\pi, w) \to BSO$ is a 1-universal fibration and $\overline{\nu}$ is a 2-smoothing. $\square$

Remark 2.9. The proposition 2.8 implies that for even 4-manifolds the normal 1-type is determined by the fundamental group π and a certain cohomology class $w \in H^2(\pi; \mathbb{Z}/2)$. Is is easy to check that two pairs (π_1, w_1) and (π_2, w_2) determine fibre homotopy equivalnet fibrations if and only if there exists an isomorphism $\phi\colon \pi_1 \to \pi_2$ such that $\phi^* w_2 = w_1$.

3 The James spectral sequence (JSS)

The proof of the main theorem requires some knowledge of the bordism group $\Omega_4(B, \pi, w)$ of the 1-universal fibration $B(\pi, w) \to BSO$. When $w = 0$ this group is isomorphic to the spin bordism group of the classifying space $K(\pi, 1)$ and we can use the classical Atiyah-Hirzebruch spectral sequence to extract the necessary information. To deal with the case when $w \neq 0$ we need the James spectral sequence, which was constructed by Teichner in [11]. We will briefly describe the construction.

Suppose that h is a generalized homology theory s.t. $h_i(*) = 0$ for $i < 0$. Let $F \to B \to K$ be an h-orientable fibration. Consider a stable vector bundle $\xi\colon B \to BSO$. Using the pullback construction one can construct a sequence of spaces B_n and vector bundles $\xi_n\colon B_n \to BSO(n)$ such that the following diagram is commutative for each $n = 1, 2, \dots$

$$\begin{tikzcd}
B_n \arrow[r] \arrow[d, "\xi_n"] & B_{n+1} \arrow[r] \arrow[d, "\xi_{n+1}"] & B \arrow[d, "\xi"] \\
BSO(n) \arrow[r] & BSO(n+1) \arrow[r] & BSO
\end{tikzcd}$$

Choose arbitrary n, then there exists a relative fibration

$$(D^n, S^{n-1}) \to (D(\xi_n), S(\xi_n)) \xrightarrow{p_n} B_n,$$

where $D(\xi_n)$ and $S(\xi_n)$ denote the disk and the sphere bundle of ξ_n. Composition of p_n and the map $B_n \to B \to K$ yields another relative fibration.

$$(D(\xi_n)|_{F_n}, S(\xi_n)|_{F_n}) \to (D(\xi_n), S(\xi_n)) \to K. \tag{3}$$

Here F_n is a homotopy fibre of the fibration

$$F_n \to B_n \to K.$$

Consider the relative Serre spectral sequence for the fibration (3) and homology theory h

$${}_nE^2_{p,q} = H_p(K, h_q(D(\xi_n)|_{F_n}, S(\xi_n)|_{F_n})) \Longrightarrow h_{p+q}((D(\xi_n), S(\xi_n))).$$

Twofold application of Thom isomorphism

$$h_{q+n}(D(\xi_n)|_{F_n}, S(\xi_n)|_{F_n}) \overset{\cong}{\to} h_q(F_n) \overset{\cong}{\to} h_{q+n+1}((D(\xi_n \oplus \underline{\mathbb{R}}^1)|_{F_n}, S(\xi_n \oplus \underline{\mathbb{R}}^1)|_{F_n}))$$

gives the identification (modulo the vertical shift) of the Serre spectral sequence for ξ_n and $\xi_n \oplus \underline{\mathbb{R}}^1$. Consider now the following commutative diagram of vector bundles.

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\xi_n \oplus \underline{\mathbb{R}} \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & \xi_{n+1} \arrow[d] \\
B_n \arrow[r] & B_{n+1}
\end{tikzcd}$$

It yields a morphism of spectral sequences ${}_nE \to_{n+1}E$. To be more precise for each n and r there exists the following commutative diagram.

$$\begin{tikzcd}
{}_nE^r_{p,q} \arrow[r] \arrow[d, "d^n_r"] & {}_{n+1}E^r_{p,q} \arrow[d, "d^{n+1}_r"] \\
{}_nE^r_{p-r,q+r-1} \arrow[r] & {}_{n+1}E^r_{p-r,q+r-1}
\end{tikzcd}$$

Passing to the limit over all possible diagrams simultaneosuly we obtain a new spectral sequence

$$E = \lim_{\overrightarrow{n}}({}_nE).$$

Exactness of the colimit functor implies that E is indeed a spectral sequence. To identify the E^2 page consider the following limit

$$\begin{aligned}
\lim_{\overrightarrow{n}}({}_nE^2_{p,q}) &= \lim_{\overrightarrow{n}} H_p(K, h_q(D(\xi_n)|_{F_n}, S(\xi_n)|_{F_n})) = \\
&= H_p(K, \lim_{\overrightarrow{n}} h_q(D(\xi_n)|_{F_n}, S(\xi_n)|_{F_n})) = \\
&= H_p(K, \lim_{\overrightarrow{n}} h_q(Th(\xi_n|_{F_n}))) = \\
&= H_p(K, h_q(M\xi|_F)),
\end{aligned}$$

where $Th(\xi_n)$ is the Thom space of ξ_n and $M\xi$ is the Thom spectrum of the stable vector bundle ξ. Exactness of the colimit functor and the fact that ${}_nE$ are first quadrant spectral sequences implies that

$$E^\infty_{p,q} = \lim_{\vec{n}}({}_nE^\infty_{p,q}).$$

Additionally the graded object of the spectral sequence E is isomorphic to

$$\lim_{\vec{n}} h_{p+q}(D(\xi_n), S(\xi_n)) = \lim_{\vec{n}} h_{p+q}(Th(\xi_n)) = h_{p+q}(M\xi).$$

Thus we obtain the following proposition.

Proposition 3.1. *Let $F \to B \to K$ be a fibration and $B \to BSO$ be a stable vector bundle. Suppose that h is a generalized homology theory whose coefficients vanish in negative dimensions. Then there exists a spectral sequence*

$$E^2_{p,q} = H_p(K, h_q(M\xi|_F)) \Longrightarrow h_{p+q}(M\xi).$$

Furthermore this spectral sequence is natural with respect to the maps of fibrations.

Let now $\xi\colon B(\pi, w) \to BSO$ be the 1-universal fibration. By the construction there exists a fibration

$$BSpin \xrightarrow{i} B(\pi, w) \xrightarrow{p} K(\pi, 1).$$

Additionally the composition $\xi \circ i$ is the classifying map for the universal bundle over $BSpin$. Thus applying the James spectral sequence to the above fibrations with $h = \pi^s$ we obtain the following spectral sequence

$$E^2_{p,q} = H_p(K(\pi, 1), \Omega^{Spin}_q) \Longrightarrow \Omega_{p+q}(B(\pi, w)).$$

4 π-realizing surgery on loops

First suppose that we are given a pair (π_1, w_1), where π_1 is a finitely presented group and $w_1 \in H^2(\pi_1, \mathbb{Z}/2)$. Using this data one can construct a 1-universal fibration over BSO as a pullback of the following diagram.

$$\begin{tikzcd}
B(\pi_1, w_1) \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & K(\pi_1, 1) \arrow[d, "w"] \\
BSO \arrow[r, "w_2(\gamma)"] & K(\mathbb{Z}/2, 2)
\end{tikzcd}$$

Let (π_2, w_2) be another such pair and suppose that there exists an epimorphism $\psi\colon \pi_1 \to \pi_2$ such that $w_1 = \psi^* w_2$. Then by the universal property of the pullback there exists a map of fibrations

$$B(\pi_1, w_1) \xrightarrow{\psi_\#} B(\pi_2, w_2)$$

Suppose now that M is a smooth, closed, oriented, even 4-manifold whose normal 1-type is fibre-homotopy equivalent to $B(\pi_1, w_1) \to BSO$. Let

$$\overline{\nu}\colon M \to B(\pi_1, w_1)$$

be the lift of the normal Gauss map. Then the composition

$$\psi_\# \circ \overline{\nu}\colon M \to B(\pi_2, w_2)$$

is a normal map. We can perform surgery below the middle dimension on this map to obtain 1-smoothing $Y(M, \psi, w_2) \to B(\pi_2, w_2)$.

Definition 4.1. We say that manifold $Y(M, \psi, w_2)$ was obtained from M by *π_2-realizing surgery on loops of type I.*

Proposition 4.2. *Surgery on loops of type I yields a homomorphism of bordism groups* $\psi_*\colon \Omega_4(B(\pi_1, w_1)) \to \Omega_4(B(\pi_2, w_2))$

Remark 4.3. To be precise one has to take care of the framings to be sure that the obtain manifold has the desired normal 1-type. If $\gamma\colon S^1 \to M$ is an embedding whose homotopy class represents one of the relations, then the framing of the stable normal bundle of the loop gamma comes from the nullhomotopy H of the composition $\psi_\# \circ \overline{\nu} \circ \gamma$. If we take different nullhomotopy H', then these two maps determine a map $S^2 \to B(\pi_1, w_1)$. However it is easy to check that $\pi_2(B(\pi_1, w_1)) = 0$, which implies that any two nullhomotopies are homotopic relative to the boundary, thus the homotopy class of the stable framing is uniquely defined.

In the odd case the surgery construction is analogous. Let $Y(M, \psi)$ be the result of the construction performed on M using the homomorphism ψ.

Definition 4.4. We say that $Y(M, \psi)$ was obtained from M by *π_2-realizing surgery on loops of type II.*

Proposition 4.5. *If $\psi\colon \pi_1 \to \pi_2$ is an epimorphism, then the surgery on loops yields a homomorphism of bordism groups*

$$\psi_*\colon \Omega_4^{SO}(K(\pi_1, 1)) \to \Omega_4^{SO}(K(\pi_2, 1)).$$

5 Stable classification of 4-manifolds

Using the Kreck's modified surgery [4] one can give a sufficient condition for two manifolds M and N to be stably diffeomorphic.

Theorem 5.1. *Suppose M and N are two smooth, connected and closed $2q$-manifolds with the same normal $(q-1)$-type $B^{q-1} \to BO$, $q \geq 2$. If $(M, \overline{\nu}_M) = (N, \overline{\nu}_N)$ in $\Omega_{2q}^{B^{q-1}}$, then M and N are stably diffeomorphic.*

Theorem 5.1 yields the following corollary.

Corollary 5.2. Let M_1 and M_2 be two 4-manifolds whose normal 1-type is fibre-homotopy equivalent to $B(\pi_1, w_1) \to BSO$. Let $\psi\colon \pi_1 \to \pi_2$ be an epimorphism of finitely presented groups and $w_2 \in H^2(\pi_2, \mathbb{Z}/2)$ such that $w_1 = \psi^* w_2$. Then $Y(M_1, \psi, w_2)$ and $Y(M_2, \psi, w_2)$ are stably diffeomorphic if and only if

$$[M_1, \overline{\nu_{M_1}}] - [M_2, \overline{\nu_{M_2}}] \in \ker(\psi_*\colon \Omega_4(B(\pi_1, w_1)) \to \Omega_4(B(\pi_2, w_2))).$$

In order to prove the main theorem one has to investigate the properties of the homomorphisms from propositions 4.2 and 4.5.

Proposition 5.3. *Let π be a finitely presented group. Let $\psi\colon F_\alpha \to \pi$ be an epimorphism. Then the homomorphism $\psi_*\colon \Omega_4^{Spin}(K(F_\alpha, 1)) \to \Omega_4(B(\pi, w))$ is injective. For any two epimorphisms $\psi_i\colon F_{\alpha_i} \to \pi$, $i = 1, 2$, we have*

$$\operatorname{im}((\psi_1)_*) = \operatorname{im}((\psi_2)_*)\,.$$

Proof. The proof uses the naturality of the JSS. First observe that $H_p(F_\alpha) = 0$ for $p \geq 2$ and $\Omega_3^{Spin} = 0$. Thus $E^2_{1,3} = E^2_{2,2} = E^2_{3,1} = E^2_{4,0} = 0$. Naturality of the JSS implies that $\operatorname{im}(\psi_*) \subset E^\infty_{0,4} = E^2_{0,4} = \Omega_4^{Spin}$. The edge homomorphism corresponding to this entry is given by the signature. □

As a corollary we obtain the following theorem.

Theorem 5.4. *Let π be a finitely presented group and $w \in H^2(\pi, \mathbb{Z}/2)$. Choose two presentations of π and let $\psi_1\colon F_{k_1} \to \pi$ and $\psi_2\colon F_{k_2} \to \pi$ be homomorphisms induced by these presentations. Choose two spin 4-manifolds M_1 and M_2 such that $\pi_1(M_1) = F_{k_1}$ and $\pi_1(M_2) = F_{k_2}$. Then $Y(M_1, \psi_1, w)$ and $Y(M_2, \psi_2, w)$ are stably diffeomorphic if and only if $\sigma(M_1) = \sigma(M_2)$.*

Remark 5.5. It is natural to ask whether every even 4-manifold with fundamental group π, is stably diffeomorphic to a one obtained from a spin manifold with free fundamental group. The answer to this question is no. If an even 4-manifold is stably diffeomorphic to one obtained by the π-realizing surgery on loops from even 4-manifold with free fundamental group, then Rochlin's theorem implies that the signature is divisible by 16. According to the main theorem from [12] if $H^2(\pi; \mathbb{Z}/2) \neq 0$ then there exists an even 4-manifold with fundamental group isomorphic to π and signature equal to 8. Even when $H^2(\pi; \mathbb{Z}/2) = 0$ there are other obstructions coming from the other bordism invariants.

In the odd case we have the following lemma.

Lemma 5.6. There exists an isomorphism

$$\begin{gathered} \Omega_4^{SO}(K(\pi, 1)) \to \mathbb{Z} \oplus H_4(K(\pi, 1); \mathbb{Z}) \\ (M, f) \mapsto (\sigma(M), f_*[M]). \end{gathered}$$

Proof. The lemma follows easily from the investigation of the Atiyah-Hirzebruch spectral sequence. Indeed notice that $\Omega_1^{SO} = \Omega_2^{SO} = \Omega_3^{SO} = 0$ implies that

$E^2_{1,3} = E^2_{2,2} = E^2_{3,1} = 0$. Thus there are no nonzero differentials. Therefore we obtain the following exact sequence.

$$0 \to \Omega_4^{SO} \to \Omega_4^{SO}(K(\pi,1)) \to H_4(\pi;\mathbb{Z}) \to 0.$$

However the constant map $K(\pi,1) \to *$ induces a map on the bordism groups which splits the inclusion $\Omega_4^{SO} \to \Omega_4^{SO}(K(\pi,1))$. Also one can show that the epimorphism $\Omega_4^{SO}(K(\pi,1)) \to H_4(\pi;\mathbb{Z})$ is the Hurewicz map. □

Corollary 5.7. Let $\psi\colon \pi_1 \to \pi_2$ be an epimorphism. Then the following diagram is commutative

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\Omega_4^{SO}(K(\pi_1,1)) \arrow[r, "\psi_*"] \arrow[d] & \Omega_4^{SO}(K(\pi_2,1)) \arrow[d] \\
\mathbb{Z} \oplus H_4(K(\pi_1,1),\mathbb{Z}) \arrow[r, "\mathrm{id} \oplus \psi_*"] & \mathbb{Z} \oplus H_4(K(\pi_2,1),\mathbb{Z})
\end{tikzcd}$$

In this diagram the ψ_* homomorphism occurring in $\mathrm{id} \oplus \psi_*$ is the homomorphism induced by ψ on homology of $K(\pi_1,1)$ and $K(\pi_2,1)$.

Corollary 5.7 yields the following theorem.

Theorem 5.8. *Let M_1 and M_2 be two odd 4-manifolds with fundamental group π. Then $Y(M_1,\psi_1)$ and $Y(M_2,\psi_2)$ are stably diffeomorphic if and only if $\sigma(M_1) = \sigma(M_2)$ and $(\psi_1)_*[M_1] = (\psi_2)_*[M_2]$.*

Remark 5.9. From the corollary 5.7 it is easy to deduce that the map

$$\psi_*\colon \Omega_4^{SO}(K(F_\alpha,1)) \to \Omega_4^{SO}(K(\pi,1))$$

is surjective if and only if $H_4(\pi;\mathbb{Z}) = 0$. Thus every odd 4-manifold with fundamental group π is stably diffeomorphic to one obtained by the surgery on loops from a manifold with free fundamental group if and only if $H_4(\pi;\mathbb{Z}) = 0$.

Now theorems 5.4 and 5.8 cover even and odd cases, respectively, of the proof of the main theorem 1.2.

References

[1] Brown K.S., *Cohomology of Groups*, Graduate Texts in Mathematics, Springer-Verlag 1982.

[2] Davis J.F., *The Borel/Novikov Conjectures and stable diffeomorphism of 4-manifolds*, Geometry and topology of manifolds, Fields Institute Communications, AMS 2000.

[3] Gompf R.E., *Stable diffeomorphism of compact 4-manifolds*, Top. and Appl. vol.18 (1984), p. 115-120.

[4] Kreck M., *Surgery and duality*, Ann. Math. vol. 194 no. 3 (May 1999), p. 707-754.

[5] McCleary J., *A User's Guide to Spectral sequences*, Cambridge University Press 2001.

[6] Milnor J., *On simply connected* 4*-manifolds*, Symposium internacional de topología algebraica, Universidad Nacional Autónoma de México and UNESCO, Mexico City, 1958, pp. 122-128.

[7] Politarczyk W., *Rozmaitości* 4*-wymiarowe*, MSc. Thesis, Adam Mickiewicz University in Poznań, 2011.

[8] Quinn F., *Stable Topology of* 4*-manifolds*, Top. and Appl. Vol. 15 (1983), p. 71-77.

[9] Stong R.E., *Notes on Cobordism Theory*, Princeton University Press, Princeton, New Jersey, 1968.

[10] Switzer R., *Algebraic Topology - Homology and Homotopy*,

[11] Teichner P., *Topological four-manifolds with finite fundamental groups*, available on-line: http://math.berkeley.edu/~teichner/papers/phd.pdf.

[12] Teichner P., *On the signature of four-manifolds with universal covering spin*, Math. Ann. 295, 745-759 (1993).

[13] Wall C.T.C., *On simply-connected* 4*-manifolds*, J. London Math. Soc. (1964) s1-39 (1): 141-149.

[14] Wall C.T.C., *Surgery on compact manifolds*, Mathemtical Surveys and Monographs vol. 69, AMS, 1999.